

THE STATE OF THE PARTY.

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/763,797	01/23/2004	Michael J. Smollock	MK-01	7935		
29880	7590 10/13/2005		EXAMINER			
FOX ROTHSCHILD O'BRIEN & FRANKEL LLP			BOEHLER, AN	BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M		
PRINCETON	I PIKE CORPORATE C	ENTER				
997 LENOX DRIVE, BUILDING 3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	VILLE, NJ 08648		3611			

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/763,79	7	SMOLLOCK ET A	AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
			e M. Boehler	3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no even in the control of the control	IS COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be lexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this one NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		·					
2a)☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗍	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected.							
_	⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
<u> </u>	•		objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A								
Attachment			√	(DTO 440)				
· <u> </u>	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, r No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3611

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8, 9, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eveleth (USPN 5163527).

Eveleth shows an axle assembly comprising an axle 14 mounted in housing 10 that also encloses a power unit. A drive hub 18 is inserted on an end of the drive axle 14 to selectively freewheel on or lock to the drive axle. A wheel 12 is fixed to the hub. A second wheel and hub are similarly mounted at the opposite side of the vehicle and, therefore, provide a freewheeling wheel.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10, 13, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eveleth.

Eveleth is silent regarding a means for locking the shaft in the housing and a sleeve for connecting the motor output shaft to the axle shaft. However, it is old and well known and would have been obvious to provide a brake for locking the drive wheel, in order to prevent the device from rolling when not in use. It would also have been

obvious to provide a sleeve connection between the motor output and drive axle shafts, in orded to easily assembly the vehicle from preformed parts.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are allowed.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Simons shows a selectively powered wheel.

Cladwell and Rochfort show auger transport vehicles.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M. Boehler whose telephone number is 571-272-6641. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611